



Computer Engineering Department

# **Policy-based Theoretical Guarantees**

Mohammad Hossein Rohban, Ph.D.

Spring 2025

Courtesy: Most of slides are adopted from the RL course at Berkeley.

Lecture 18 - 1

### **Recap: Policy Gradients**

### $\hat{Q}^{\pi}(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{u}_t) = \sum r(\mathbf{x}_{t'}, \mathbf{u}_{t'})$ **REINFORCE** algorithm: 1. sample $\{\tau^i\}$ from $\pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_t | \mathbf{s}_t)$ (run the policy) 2. $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) \approx \sum_{i} \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_{t}^{i} | \mathbf{s}_{t}^{i}) \left( \sum_{t'=t}^{T} r(\mathbf{s}_{t'}^{i}, \mathbf{a}_{t'}^{i}) \right) \right)$ 3. $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$ generate samples (i.e. run the policy $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_{i,t} | \mathbf{s}_{i,t}) \hat{Q}_{i,t}^{\pi}$ $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$ "reward to go"

t' = t

fit a model to

estimate return

improve the policy

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_{i,t} | \mathbf{s}_{i,t}) \hat{A}_{i,t}^{\pi}$$

main steps of policy gradient algorithm: 1. Estimate  $\hat{A}^{\pi}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$  for current policy  $\pi$ 2. Use  $\hat{A}^{\pi}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$  to get *improved* policy  $\pi'$ 

# $\hat{A}^{\pi}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, \mathbf{u}_{t})$ fit a model to estimate return generate samples (i.e. run the policy) $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ improve the policy $\boldsymbol{\theta} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\theta} + \alpha \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta})$

### Familiar to policy iteration algorithm:

1. evaluate 
$$A^{\pi}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$$
  
2. set  $\pi \leftarrow \pi'$ 

$$J(\theta) = E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta}(\tau)} \left[ \sum_{t} \gamma^{t} r(\mathbf{s}_{t}, \mathbf{a}_{t}) \right]$$

claim: 
$$J(\theta') - J(\theta) = E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left[ \sum_{t} \gamma^t A^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right]$$

could be interpreted as policy improvement!

claim:  $J(\theta') - J(\theta) = E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left| \sum_{t} \gamma^t A^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right|$ **proof:**  $J(\theta') - J(\theta) = J(\theta') - E_{\mathbf{s}_0 \sim p(\mathbf{s}_0)} [V^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_0)]$  $= J(\theta') - E_{\tau \sim p_{\alpha'}(\tau)} \left[ V^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_0) \right]$  $= J(\theta') - E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t V^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_t) - \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^t V^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_t) \right]$  $= J(\theta') + E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t (\gamma V^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}) - V^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_t)) \right]$  $= E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^t r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right] + E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^t (\gamma V^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}) - V^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_t)) \right]$ 

$$= E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t (r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \gamma V^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}) - V^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_t)) \right]$$
$$= E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left[ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t A^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right]$$

$$J(\theta') - J(\theta) = E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left[ \sum_{t} \gamma^t A^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right]$$

expectation under  $\pi_{\theta'}$ 

advantage under  $\pi_{\theta}$ 

importance sampling  

$$E_{x \sim p(x)}[f(x)] = \int p(x)f(x)dx$$

$$= \int \frac{q(x)}{q(x)}p(x)f(x)dx$$

$$= \int q(x)\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}f(x)dx$$

$$= E_{x \sim q(x)}\left[\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}f(x)\right]$$

$$E_{\tau \sim p_{\theta'}(\tau)} \left[ \sum_{t} \gamma^{t} A^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_{t}, \mathbf{a}_{t}) \right] = \sum_{t} E_{\mathbf{s}_{t} \sim p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_{t})} \left[ E_{\mathbf{a}_{t} \sim \pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_{t}|\mathbf{s}_{t})} \left[ \gamma^{t} A^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_{t}, \mathbf{a}_{t}) \right] \right]$$
$$= \sum_{t} E_{\mathbf{s}_{t} \sim p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_{t})} \left[ E_{\mathbf{a}_{t} \sim \pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_{t}|\mathbf{s}_{t})} \left[ \frac{\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_{t}|\mathbf{s}_{t})}{\pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_{t}|\mathbf{s}_{t})} \gamma^{t} A^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_{t}, \mathbf{a}_{t}) \right] \right]$$

is it OK to use  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t)$  instead?

Can we ignore distribution mismatch?

$$\sum_{t} E_{\mathbf{s}_{t} \sim p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_{t})} \left[ E_{\mathbf{a}_{t} \sim \pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_{t}|\mathbf{s}_{t})} \left[ \frac{\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_{t}|\mathbf{s}_{t})}{\pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_{t}|\mathbf{s}_{t})} \gamma^{t} A^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_{t}, \mathbf{a}_{t}) \right] \right] \approx \sum_{t} E_{\mathbf{s}_{t} \sim p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_{t})} \left[ E_{\mathbf{a}_{t} \sim \pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_{t}|\mathbf{s}_{t})} \left[ \frac{\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_{t}|\mathbf{s}_{t})}{\pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_{t}|\mathbf{s}_{t})} \gamma^{t} A^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_{t}, \mathbf{a}_{t}) \right] \right]$$
why do we want this to be true?
$$\bar{A}(\theta')$$

$$J(\theta') - J(\theta) \approx \bar{A}(\theta') \quad \Rightarrow \quad \theta' \leftarrow \arg \max_{\theta'} \bar{A}(\theta)$$

2. Use  $\hat{A}^{\pi}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$  to get *improved* policy  $\pi'$ 

### is it true? and when?

 $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t)$  is close to  $p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t)$  when  $\pi_{\theta}$  is close to  $\pi_{\theta'}$ 

### Bounding the distribution change

Claim:  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t)$  is close to  $p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t)$  when  $\pi_{\theta}$  is close to  $\pi_{\theta'}$ 

Simple case: assume  $\pi_{\theta}$  is a *deterministic* policy  $\mathbf{a}_t = \pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t)$  $\pi_{\theta'}$  is close to  $\pi_{\theta}$  if  $\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t \neq \pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t) | \mathbf{s}_t) \leq \epsilon$ 

$$p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t) = (1-\epsilon)^t p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t) + (1-(1-\epsilon)^t)) p_{\text{mistake}}(\mathbf{s}_t)$$

probability we made no mistakes

some *other* distribution

 $|p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t) - p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t)| = (1 - (1 - \epsilon)^t) |p_{\text{mistake}}(\mathbf{s}_t) - p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t)| \le 2(1 - (1 - \epsilon)^t)$ useful identity:  $(1 - \epsilon)^t \ge 1 - \epsilon t$  for  $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$  $\le 2\epsilon t$ 

not a great bound, but a bound!

seem familiar?

### Bounding the distribution change

Claim:  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t)$  is close to  $p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t)$  when  $\pi_{\theta}$  is close to  $\pi_{\theta'}$ 

General case: assume  $\pi_{\theta}$  is an arbitrary distribution

 $\pi_{\theta'}$  is close to  $\pi_{\theta}$  if  $|\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) - \pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)| \leq \epsilon$  for all  $\mathbf{s}_t$ 

Useful lemma: if  $|p_X(x) - p_Y(x)| = \epsilon$ , exists p(x, y) such that  $p(x) = p_X(x)$  and  $p(y) = p_Y(y)$  and  $p(x = y) = 1 - \epsilon$   $\Rightarrow p_X(x)$  "agrees" with  $p_Y(y)$  with probability  $\epsilon$  $\Rightarrow \pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t | \mathbf{s}_t)$  takes a different action than  $\pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_t | \mathbf{s}_t)$  with probability at most  $\epsilon$ 

$$|p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t) - p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t)| = (1 - (1 - \epsilon)^t)|p_{\text{mistake}}(\mathbf{s}_t) - p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t)| \le 2(1 - (1 - \epsilon)^t)$$
$$\le 2\epsilon t$$

### Bounding the objective value

 $\pi_{\theta'}$  is close to  $\pi_{\theta}$  if  $|\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) - \pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)| \leq \epsilon$  for all  $\mathbf{s}_t$ 

 $|p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_t) - p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_t)| \le 2\epsilon t$ 

$$E_{p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_{t})}[f(\mathbf{s}_{t})] = \sum_{\mathbf{s}_{t}} p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_{t}) f(\mathbf{s}_{t}) \ge \sum_{\mathbf{s}_{t}} p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_{t}) f(\mathbf{s}_{t}) - |p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_{t}) - p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_{t})| \max_{\mathbf{s}_{t}} f(\mathbf{s}_{t})$$
$$\ge E_{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_{t})}[f(\mathbf{s}_{t})] - 2\epsilon t \max_{\mathbf{s}_{t}} f(\mathbf{s}_{t})$$
$$\sum_{t} E_{\mathbf{s}_{t} \sim p_{\theta'}(\mathbf{s}_{t})} \left[ E_{\mathbf{a}_{t} \sim \pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_{t}|\mathbf{s}_{t})} \left[ \frac{\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_{t}|\mathbf{s}_{t})}{\pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_{t}|\mathbf{s}_{t})} \gamma^{t} A^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_{t}, \mathbf{a}_{t}) \right] \right] \ge O(Tr_{\max}) \text{ or } O\left(\frac{r_{\max}}{1-\gamma}\right)$$

$$\sum_{t} E_{\mathbf{s}_{t} \sim p_{\theta}(\mathbf{s}_{t})} \left[ E_{\mathbf{a}_{t} \sim \pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_{t}|\mathbf{s}_{t})} \left[ \frac{\pi_{\theta'}(\mathbf{a}_{t}|\mathbf{s}_{t})}{\pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}_{t}|\mathbf{s}_{t})} \gamma^{t} A^{\pi_{\theta}}(\mathbf{s}_{t}, \mathbf{a}_{t}) \right] \right] - \sum_{t} 2\epsilon t C$$

maximizing this maximizes a bound on the thing we want!